

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/517,261	12/07/2004	Shinya Tabata	2004 1823A	8744	
513 WENDEROTI	7590 03/23/2007 I, LIND & PONACK, L.L.I	EXAMINER			
2033 K STREET N. W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1021			SAUNDERS JR, JOSEPH		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2615		
				-	
			· MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/23/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/517,261	TABATA ET AL.	TABATA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit		
Joseph Saunders	2615		

·	Joseph Saunders	2615	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 08 March 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A		•	
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compfollowing time periods:</li> </ol>	on the same day as filing a Notice of owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	of Appeal. To avoid ab offidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (	ence, which CFR 41.31; or
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of	f the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.	
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	7).		•
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three monthearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
NOTICE OF APPEAL			
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must</li> </ol>	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)	), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.
AMENDMENTS		# . 91 . A t	
3.   ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co  (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below).	onsideration and/or search (see NC		oecause
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	· ·	educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d)☐ They present additional claims without canceling a		ejected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See attached Detailed Action.</u> (See 37 C			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		41	4
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	allowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendin	ient canceling
<ol> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro</li> </ol>		vill be entered and an	explanation of
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		•	
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) espected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>32-53</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		•	
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good are and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. 🔲 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	- ·		
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	ut does NOT place the emplication	in condition for all asset	nao hoosusa:
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by		in condition for allows	nice pecause.
<ul><li>12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)</li><li>13. Other:</li></ul>	. (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		•
·			
	•	•	

Application/Control Number: 10/517,261

Art Unit: 2615

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed March 8, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that one having ordinary skill in the art would not have determined Takahashi et al. to disclose a foamed resin including independent and continuous foam. Applicant however fails to clarify what art the one of ordinary skill would be applicable to. Further while it may be true that one would interpret having "polyamide foam material is a continuous foam material having an independent foam ratio of one percent or less" to mean that the polyamide foam should preferably be a completely continuous foam resin since it is apparently very difficult to cost-effectively completely destroy all the independent foam during the manufacturing process, if true what Applicant is admitting is that due to the difficulty in producing 100 percent continuous foam, most foam would contain "both" independent and continuous foam and therefore the percentage of independent foam to continuous foam would be of significant value to the patentability of a product containing "both" independent and continuous foam. However the applicant does not disclose a ratio and only discloses that "both" independent and continuous foam are present, which is clearly anticipated by Takahashi et al. The drawings provide inconclusive evidence that the ratio of independent foam to continuous foam is more than an insubstantial amount since the figures are not to scale. Finally Takashi et al. does not disclose that the skin layer is a

Application/Control Number: 10/517,261 Page 3

Art Unit: 2615

necessity in all situations, but only that it is necessary for sufficient reproduction of high frequencies and therefore does not provide clear proof that the ratio of independent to continuous foam does not have an effect on improving the lower frequency characteristics. Once again, Applicant's inability to clearly disclose what ratio of independent to continuous foam is critical for the benefit of forming a gas-tight structure, results in the limitation being anticipated by Takahashi et al.

The proposed amendment of "said edge being a separate member relative to said diaphragm and bonded thereto," will not be entered because the amendment raises new issues that would require further search and consideration since the limitation of the edge being separate from the diaphragm was previously not presented in the claims.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph Saunders whose telephone number is (571) 270-1063. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, 9:00 a.m. - 4:00 p.m., EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sinh Tran can be reached on (571) 272-7564. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

SINH TRAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Application/Control Number: 10/517,261

Art Unit: 2615

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JS

March 22, 2007